

Jo Ann Cervantes, District Cl
Val Verde County, Te.
Sandy Gai

CAUSE NO. 32507

MARCUS MARTINEZ AND
SYLVIA MARTINEZ

v.

ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S AND
BRADLEY BRISON

§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

83RD JUDICIAL COURT

VAL VERDE COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW Comes Marcus Martinez & Sylvia Martinez, hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs," complaining of Defendants, Allstate Texas Lloyd's and Bradley Brison (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") and hereby respectfully shows unto the Court and Jury as follows:

I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

Plaintiffs intend for discovery to be conducted under Level 3 of Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. This case involves complex issues and will require extensive discovery. Therefore, Plaintiffs ask the Court to order that discovery be conducted in accordance with a discovery control plan tailored to the particular circumstances of this suit.

II. PARTIES

Plaintiffs, Marcus Martinez & Sylvia Martinez, are individuals and residents of Texas.

Defendant, Allstate Texas Lloyd's ("Allstate"), is a Texas domestic Lloyd's plan insurance company that, on information and belief, is licensed to conduct the business of insurance in Texas and/or has its principal place of business located in Texas. Additionally, one or more of Allstate's "members" are citizens of Texas. It can be served with citation by serving



its registered agent, C T Corporation System, by certified mail, return receipt requested, at 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

Defendant, Bradley Brison ("Brison"), is a citizen of Texas who can be served with process by certified mail, return receipt requested, at 1808 Morningside Dr., Garland, TX 75042, or wherever else he may be found.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this case in that the amount in controversy exceeds the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

Venue is mandatory and proper in Val Verde County, Texas, because all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the lawsuit occurred in this county (*see Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §15.002*) and the insured property that is the basis of this lawsuit is located in Val Verde County, Texas. *See Tex. Ins. Code §2210.552 and Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §15.032* (see below). Venue is proper and mandatory in Val Verde County against all the Defendants in this case because venue is mandatory and/or proper against at least one Defendant and all claims or actions in this case arise out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transaction or occurrences. *See Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §15.005.*

IV. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

All conditions precedent to recovery have been performed, waived, or have occurred.

V. FACTS

- A. Plaintiffs are the owners of insurance Policy Number 000216631009 issued by Defendant Allstate (hereinafter referred to as the "Policy").

- B. Plaintiffs owned the insured property, which is specifically located at 1005 Ave R, Del Rio, Val Verde County, Texas 78840 on May 11, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as the "Property").
- C. Defendant Allstate or its agent sold the Policy, insuring the Property, to Plaintiffs.
- D. On or about May 11, 2015, a wind and hailstorm struck the Del Rio, Texas area causing severe damage to homes and businesses throughout the area, including Plaintiffs' Property.
- E. Plaintiffs submitted a claim to Allstate against the Policy for roof damage and water damage the Property sustained as a result of the wind and hailstorm. Plaintiffs asked that Allstate cover the cost of repairs to the Property pursuant to the Policy and any other available coverages under the Policy.
- F. Defendants have assigned claim number 0389238817 PLT to Plaintiffs' claim.
- G. Defendant Brison was the agent for Allstate and represented Allstate in regard to Plaintiffs' claim. Brison also adjusted the Plaintiffs' claim by investigating, processing, evaluating, approving, and/or denying, in whole or in part, Plaintiffs' claim. As such, Brison acted as an insurance adjuster engaged in the business of insurance with respect to the Plaintiffs' insurance claim. Therefore, Brison is a "person" who is individually liable for his unfair methods of competition or unfair or deceptive acts or practices under the Texas Insurance Code and the DTPA. Furthermore, Brison acted as the agent and representative for Allstate in this claim.
- H. Defendant Brison improperly adjusted the Plaintiffs' claim. Defendant Brison conducted a substandard inspection, which is evidenced in his report, which failed to include many of Plaintiff's damages.¹ His estimate did not allow adequate funds to cover repairs to restore

¹ See Exhibit A: Defendant Brison's Denial Letter dated November 24, 2015.

Plaintiff's home. Without limitation, Brison misrepresented the cause of, scope of, and cost to repair the damage to Plaintiffs' Property, as well as the amount of and insurance coverage for Plaintiffs' claim/loss under Plaintiffs' insurance policy.² Brison misrepresented that the damages to Plaintiffs' Property were caused by unrepairs damage from a prior claim made in 2012, which Brison also inspected. Brison made this misrepresentation despite having been provided documents showing that \$3,450 was spent on repairs stemming from the 2012 claim.³ Brison made these and other misrepresentations to Plaintiffs as well as to Allstate. Plaintiffs and Allstate both relied on Brison's misrepresentations, including but not limited those regarding the cause of, scope of, and cost to repair the damage to Plaintiffs' Property, and Plaintiffs have been damaged as a result of such reliance. Brison's misrepresentations caused Allstate to underpay Plaintiffs on their insurance claim and, as such, Plaintiffs have not been able to properly and completely repair the damages to Plaintiffs' property. This has caused additional, further damage to Plaintiffs' property. Brison also advised Plaintiffs as to how they could repair their Property so as to prevent further damage to the Plaintiffs' Property.⁴ This advice was negligent and false because it turns out Plaintiffs could not properly repair their Property and prevent future damage by following Brison's advice. Plaintiffs' Property has sustained further damages as a result.

- I. Defendants Allstate and Brison misrepresented that the damages caused by the wind and hailstorm were not covered damages under the policy.⁵ However, Defendants'

² *Id.*

³ See Exhibit B: Written Statement and Repair Invoice from Contractor for repair of damages from 2012 Claim.

⁴ See Exhibit A.

⁵ *Id.*

representations were false because Plaintiffs' wind and hailstorm damages exceed \$30,000.00 and were caused by a covered occurrence.

- J. Defendants Allstate and Brison failed to properly adjust the claims and Defendants have denied at least a portion of the claims without an adequate investigation, even though the Policy provided coverage for losses such as those suffered by Plaintiffs.
- K. These false representations allowed Defendants to financially gain by wrongfully denying at least a portion of Plaintiffs' claim.
- L. Plaintiffs' claim(s) still remain unpaid and the Plaintiffs still have not been able to properly repair the Property.
- M. Defendant Brison failed to perform its contractual duty to adequately compensate Plaintiffs under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, Defendant failed and refused to pay the full proceeds of the Policy, although due demand was made for proceeds to be paid in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged Property and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy had been carried out and accomplished by Plaintiffs. Defendant's conduct constitutes a breach of the insurance contract between Defendant and Plaintiffs.
- N. Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiffs that the damage to the Property was not covered under the Policy, even though the damage was caused by a covered occurrence. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE Section 541.060(a)(1).
- O. Defendants failed to make an attempt to settle Plaintiffs' claim in a fair manner, although they were aware of their liability to Plaintiffs under the Policy. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE Section 541.060 (a)(2)(A).

- P. Defendants failed to explain to Plaintiffs the reasons for their offer of an inadequate settlement. Specifically, Defendants failed to offer Plaintiffs adequate compensation, without any explanation why full payment was not being made. Furthermore, Defendants did not communicate that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire losses covered under the Policy, nor did they provide any explanation for the failure to adequately settle Plaintiffs' claim. TEX. INS. CODE Section 541.060(a)(3).
- Q. Defendants failed to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiffs' claim within a reasonable time. Specifically, Plaintiffs did not receive timely indication of acceptance or rejection, regarding the full and entire claim, in writing from Defendants. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE Section 541.060(a)(4).
- R. Defendants refused to fully compensate Plaintiffs, under the terms of the Policy, even though Defendants failed to conduct a reasonable investigation. Specifically, Defendants performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiffs' claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiffs' losses to the Property. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE Section 541.060 (a)(7).
- S. Defendants failed to meet their obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding timely acknowledging Plaintiffs' claim, beginning an investigation of Plaintiffs' claim and requesting all information reasonably necessary to investigate Plaintiffs' claim within the statutorily mandated deadline. Defendants' conduct constitutes violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, TEX. INS. CODE Section 542.055.

- T. Defendants failed to accept or deny Plaintiffs' full and entire claim within the statutory mandated deadline of receiving all necessary information. Defendants' conduct constitutes violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE Section 542.056.
- U. Defendants failed to meet their obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding payment of claim without delay. Specifically, Defendants have delayed full payment of Plaintiffs' claim longer than allowed and, to date, Plaintiffs have not yet received full payment for their claim. Defendants' conduct constitutes violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, TEX. INS. CODE Section 542.058.
- V. From and after the time Plaintiffs' claim was presented to Defendants, the liability of Defendants to pay the full claim in accordance with the terms of the Policy was reasonably clear. However, Defendants have refused to pay Plaintiffs in full, despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied to deny the full payment. Defendants' conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- W. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiffs were forced to retain the professional services of the attorney and law firm who is representing Plaintiffs with respect to these causes of action.
- X. Plaintiffs' experience is not an isolated case. The acts and omissions of Defendants committed in this case, or similar acts and omissions, occur with such frequency that they constitute a general business practice of Defendants with regard to handling these types of claims. Defendants' entire process is unfairly designed to reach favorable outcomes for the company at the expense of the policyholder.

VI. THEORIES OF LIABILITY

A. Cause of Action for Breach of Contract Against Allstate

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

According to the Insurance Policy that Plaintiffs purchased, Allstate has the duty to investigate and pay Plaintiffs' policy benefits for claims made for covered damages, including additional benefits under the Policy, resulting from the wind and hailstorm. As a result of the wind and hailstorm and/or ensuing losses from the wind and hailstorm, both of which are covered perils under the Policy, Plaintiffs' Property has been damaged.

Defendant's failure and refusal, as described above, to pay the adequate compensation as it is obligated to do under the terms of the Policy in question and under the laws of the State of Texas, constitutes a breach of Defendant's contract with Plaintiffs. As a result of this breach of contract, Plaintiffs have suffered the damages that are described in this petition.

B. Cause of Action for Violation of Section 542 Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Defendants' acts, omissions, failures and conduct that are described in this petition violate Section 542 of the Texas Insurance Code. Within the timeframe required after the receipt of either actual or written notice of Plaintiffs' claim, Defendants did not request from Plaintiffs any items, statements, and forms that they reasonably believed at that time would be required from Plaintiffs for Plaintiffs' claim. As a result, Defendants have violated Section 542 by failing to accept or reject Plaintiffs' claim in writing within the statutory timeframe. Defendants also violated Section 542 by failing to pay Plaintiffs' claim within the applicable statutory period. In

addition, in the event it is determined Defendants owe Plaintiffs any additional monies on Plaintiffs' claim, Defendants have automatically violated Section 542 in this case.

C. DTPA Cause of Action Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Plaintiffs incorporate all the allegations in this petition for this cause of action against Defendants under the provisions of the DTPA. Plaintiffs are consumers of goods and services provided by Defendants pursuant to the DTPA. Plaintiffs have met all conditions precedent to bringing this cause of action against Defendants. Specifically, Defendants' violations of the DTPA include, without limitation, the following matters:

By their acts, omissions, failures, and conduct that are described in this petition, Defendants have violated Sections 17.46 (b)(2), (5), (7), (9), (12), (20) and (24) of the DTPA. In this respect, Defendants' violations include, without limitation, (1) their unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment and resolution of Plaintiffs' claim, (2) their failure to give Plaintiffs the benefit of the doubt, and (3) their failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiffs' home on which liability had become reasonably clear, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under Section 17.46 (b)(2).

As described in this petition, Defendants represented to Plaintiffs that their insurance policy and Defendants' adjusting and investigative services had characteristics or benefits that it did not have, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under Section 17.46 (b)(5) of the DTPA;

As described in this petition, Defendants represented to Plaintiffs that their insurance policy and Defendants' adjusting and investigative services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another in violation of Section 17.46 (b)(7) of the DTPA;

As described in this petition, Defendants advertised their insurance policy and adjusting and investigative services with intent not to sell them as advertised in violation of Section 17.46 (b)(9) of the DTPA;

As described in this petition, Defendants represented to Plaintiffs that their insurance policy and Defendants' adjusting and investigative services conferred or involved rights, remedies, or obligations that it did not have, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under Section 17.46 (b)(12) of the DTPA;

As described in this petition, Defendants failed to disclose information concerning goods or services which were known at the time of the transaction and such failure to disclose was intended to induce Plaintiffs into a transaction into which the Plaintiffs would not have entered had the information been disclosed, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under Section 17.46 (b)(24) of the DTPA;

Defendants have breached an express warranty that the damage caused by the wind and hailstorm would be covered under the insurance policies. This breach entitles Plaintiffs to recover under Sections 17.46 (b)(12) and (20) and 17.50 (a)(2) of the DTPA;

Defendants' actions, as described in this petition, are unconscionable in that they took advantage of Plaintiffs' lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. Defendants' unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiffs the right to relief under Section 17.50(a)(3) of the DTPA; and

Defendants' conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of Section 17.50 (a)(4) of the DTPA.

All of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of Defendants are a producing cause of Plaintiffs' damages that are described in this petition. All of the above-described acts,

omissions, and failures of Defendants were done knowingly and intentionally as those terms are used in the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

D. Cause of Action for Unfair Insurance Practices Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Plaintiffs incorporate all the allegations in this petition for this cause of action against Defendants under the Texas Insurance Code. Plaintiffs have satisfied all conditions precedent to bringing this cause of action. By their acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, Defendants have engaged in unfair and deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in violation of 541 of the Texas Insurance Code. Such violations include, without limitation, all the conduct described in this petition plus Defendants' unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment, and resolution of Plaintiffs' claim and Defendants' failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiffs' home on which liability had become reasonably clear. They further include Defendants' failure to give Plaintiffs the benefit of the doubt. Specifically, Defendants are guilty of the following unfair insurance practices:

- A. Engaging in false, misleading, and deceptive acts or practices in the business of insurance in this case;
- B. Engaging in unfair claims settlement practices;
- C. Misrepresenting to Plaintiffs pertinent facts or policy provisions relating to the coverage at issue;
- D. Not attempting in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of claims submitted in which liability has become reasonably clear;
- E. Failing to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiffs' claim within a reasonable time;

- F. Refusing to pay Plaintiffs' claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim; and
- G. Failing to provide promptly to a policyholder a reasonable explanation of the basis in the insurance policy in relation to the facts or applicable law for the denial of a claim or for the offer of a company's settlement.

Defendants have also breached the Texas Insurance Code when they breached their duty of good faith and fair dealing. Defendants' conduct as described herein has resulted in Plaintiffs' damages that are described in this petition.

All of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of Defendants were done knowingly as that term is used in the Texas Insurance Code.

E. Cause of Action for Breach of Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Plaintiffs incorporate all the allegations of the preceding paragraphs for this cause of action. By their acts, omissions, failures and conduct, Defendants have breached their common law duty of good faith and fair dealing by failing to pay the proper amounts on Plaintiffs' entire claim without any reasonable basis and by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation to determine whether there was a reasonable basis for this denial. Defendants have also breached this duty by unreasonably delaying payment of Plaintiffs' entire claim and by failing to settle Plaintiffs' entire claim because Defendants knew or should have known that it was reasonably clear that the claim was covered. These acts, omissions, failures, and conduct of Defendants are a proximate cause of Plaintiffs' damages.

F. Cause of Action for Fraud Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Plaintiffs incorporate all the allegations of the preceding paragraphs for this cause of action. The Defendants, jointly and severally, made false representations and/or false promises to Plaintiffs. These false representations and/or false promises made by the Defendants were material misrepresentations or omissions of fact upon which the Defendants intended that Plaintiffs would rely, and upon which Plaintiffs did reasonably rely to their detriment. The representations and/or promises by the Defendants were false and were made either intentionally or recklessly without regard to their truth or falsity and with the intent to induce Plaintiffs into purchasing the insurance policy at issue and/or to accept as true and correct the adjustment of Plaintiffs' claim. As a result of the material misrepresentations and omissions upon which Plaintiffs detrimentally relied, Plaintiffs have suffered damages substantially in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.

The fraudulent acts and/or omissions of the Defendants, as set forth herein, are sufficient, under Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, to justify the imposition of punitive damages against Defendants.

Plaintiffs seek herein, as a result of the Defendants' fraud, Plaintiffs' actual damages, consequential damages, incidental damages, compensatory damages, mental anguish damages, punitive damages, costs of court, pre-judgment interest, and post-judgment interest.

G. Cause of Action for Conspiracy Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs herein.

Plaintiffs incorporate all the allegations of the preceding paragraphs for this cause of action. The Defendants were members of a combination of two persons; the object of the combination was to accomplish an unlawful purpose and/or a lawful purpose by unlawful means as set forth in the factual allegations and causes of action detailed above, including, but not limited to, to violate the DTPA, to violate Section 542 and 541 of the Texas Insurance Code, to commit unfair and deceptive insurance practices, to commit fraud and fraudulent inducement, to commit breaches of contract, and to commit breaches of the duty of good faith and fair dealing; the Defendants had a meeting of the minds on the object or course of action; one or more of the Defendants committed an unlawful, overt act, including, but not limited to violating the DTPA, violating Section 542 and 541 of the Texas Insurance Code, committing unfair and deceptive insurance practices, committing fraud and fraudulent inducement, committing breaches of contract, and committing breaches of the duty of good faith and fair dealing to further the object or course of action which, among other things, was intended to deprive the Plaintiffs of the benefit and coverage of the insurance Policy that they purchased; and Plaintiffs suffered injury as a proximate result of the wrongful act(s) and/or omission(s).

The conspiratorial acts committed by the Defendants set forth herein were committed with gross negligence, fraud and/or malice, as those terms are used in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, such as to justify the imposition of punitive damages against the Defendants.

Plaintiffs seek, as a result of the Defendants' conspiracy as set forth herein, Plaintiffs' actual damages, consequential damages, incidental damages, compensatory damages, mental anguish damages, punitive damages, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, costs of

court, expenses of the litigation, and reasonable and necessary attorneys' fees through trial and all appeals in this matter, as allowed by law.

H. Cause of Action for Aiding and Abetting Against Allstate and Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs and allegations herein.

1. Assisting or Encouraging

The Defendants committed a tort or torts against the Plaintiffs, as described and pled for herein, including, but not limited to, to violate the DTPA, to violate Section 542 and 541 of the Texas Insurance Code, to commit unfair and deceptive insurance practices, to commit fraud and fraudulent inducement, to commit breaches of contract, and to commit breaches of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. The other Defendant(s) had knowledge that the primary actor's conduct constituted a tort or torts. The other Defendant(s) had the intent to assist the primary actor in committing the tort(s). The other Defendant(s) gave the primary actor assistance or encouragement. The other Defendant(s)' assistance or encouragement was a substantial factor in causing the tort(s). Therefore, all of the Defendant(s) are considered tortfeasors and are responsible for the consequences of the tort(s), including joint and several liability for the damages suffered by the Plaintiffs as described herein.

The assisting or encouraging "aiding and abetting" acts committed by the Defendants set forth herein were committed with gross negligence, fraud and/or malice, as those terms are used in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, such as to justify the imposition of punitive damages against the Defendants.

Plaintiffs seek, as a result of the Defendants' assisting or encouraging "aiding and abetting" acts as set forth herein, Plaintiffs' actual damages, consequential damages, incidental

damages, compensatory damages, mental anguish damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees through trial and all appeals in this matter, expert witness fees, costs of court, costs for copies of depositions, pre-judgment interest, and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law.

2. Assisting and Participating

The Defendants committed a tort or torts against the Plaintiffs, as described and pled for herein, including, but not limited to, to violate the DTPA, to violate Section 542 and 541 of the Texas Insurance Code, to commit unfair and deceptive insurance practices, to commit fraud and fraudulent inducement, to commit breaches of contract, and to commit breaches of the duty of good faith and fair dealing. The primary actor's activity accomplished a tortious result. The other Defendant(s) provided substantial assistance to the primary actor in accomplishing the tortious result. The other Defendant(s)' own conduct, separate from the primary actor's, was a breach of duty to the Plaintiffs. The other Defendant(s)' participation was a substantial factor in causing the tort or torts. Therefore, all of the Defendants are considered tortfeasors and are responsible for the consequences of the tort(s), including joint and several liability for the damages suffered by the Plaintiffs as described herein.

The assisting and participating "aiding and abetting" acts committed by the Defendants set forth herein were committed with gross negligence, fraud and/or malice, as those terms are used in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, such as to justify the imposition of punitive damages against the Defendants.

Plaintiffs seek, as a result of the Defendants' assisting and participating "aiding and abetting" acts as set forth herein, Plaintiffs' actual damages, consequential damages, incidental damages, compensatory damages, mental anguish damages, punitive damages, attorney's fees

through trial and all appeals in this matter, expert witness fees, costs of court, costs for copies of depositions, pre-judgment interest, and post-judgment interest, as allowed by law.

I. Cause of Action for Negligence and Gross Negligence Against Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous paragraphs and allegations herein.

The Defendant Brison was negligent in giving advice to Plaintiffs as to how they could repair their Property so as to prevent further damage to the Plaintiffs' Property. This advice as to how to repair Plaintiffs' Property was negligent because Plaintiffs could not properly repair their Property and prevent future damage by following Brison's advice. Plaintiffs' Property has sustained further damages as a result. Brison owed a duty to use reasonable care when he undertook to advise the Plaintiffs as to how they could repair their Property so as to prevent further damage to the Plaintiffs' Property. Defendant Brison breached this legal duty. The breach proximately caused injury to the Plaintiffs.

The acts and failures to act set forth herein were committed with gross negligence, as this term is used in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, such as to justify the imposition of exemplary damages against the Defendant Brison.

J. Cause of Action for Negligent Misrepresentation Against Brison

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference all previous and subsequent paragraphs and allegations herein.

Additionally and/or in the alternative, the above and foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant Brison constitute negligent misrepresentations that have caused damages to Plaintiffs. Defendant Brison made representations to Plaintiffs in the course of Plaintiffs' business or in a transaction in which Defendant had an interest. The Defendant supplied false information for the

guidance of others, including Plaintiffs. The Defendant did not exercise reasonable care or competence in obtaining or communicating the information to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs justifiably relied upon such representations, and the Defendant's negligent misrepresentations proximately caused further damages to Plaintiffs' Property.

The misrepresentations, acts and/or failures to act set forth herein were committed with gross negligence, fraud and/or malice, as those terms are used in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, such as to justify the imposition of punitive damages against Defendant Brison.

Plaintiffs seek herein, as a result of Defendant's negligent misrepresentations, Plaintiffs' actual damages, consequential damages, incidental damages, compensatory damages, mental anguish damages, punitive damages, costs of court, pre-judgment interest, and post-judgment interest.

VII. WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

Defendants have waived and are estopped from asserting any coverage defenses, conditions, exclusions, or exceptions to coverage not contained in any reservation of rights letter to Plaintiffs.

VIII. DAMAGES

The above described acts, omissions, failures and conduct of Defendants have caused Plaintiffs' damages, which include, without limitation, the cost to properly repair Plaintiffs' property and any investigative and engineering fees incurred in the claim. Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover consequential damages from Defendants' breach of contract. Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover the amount of Plaintiffs' claim plus an 18% per annum penalty on that claim against Defendants as damages under Section 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, plus

prejudgment interest and attorneys fees. In an effort to comply with Rule 47(c) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs seek monetary relief over \$100,000.00 but not more than \$200,000.00. Therefore, all the damages described in this petition are within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.

IX. ADDITIONAL DAMAGES

Defendants have also "knowingly" and "intentionally" committed deceptive trade practices and unfair insurance practices as those terms are defined in the applicable statutes. Because of Defendants' knowing and intentional misconduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to additional damages as authorized by Section 17.50(b)(1) of the DTPA. Plaintiffs are further entitled to the additional damages that are authorized by Section 541 of the Texas Insurance Code.

X. EXEMPLARY DAMAGES

Defendants' above and foregoing acts and omissions, as set forth above, were done intentionally, with a conscious indifference to the rights and welfare of Plaintiffs, and with "malice" as that term is defined in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code. These violations by Defendants are the type of conduct which the State of Texas protects its citizen against by the imposition of exemplary damages. Therefore, Plaintiffs seek the recovery of exemplary damages in an amount to be determined by the finder of fact that is sufficient to punish Defendants for their wrongful conduct and to set an example to deter Defendants and others similarly situated from committing similar acts in the future.

XI. ATTORNEYS' FEES

As a result of Defendants' conduct that is described in this petition, Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the undersigned attorneys to prosecute this action and have agreed to pay reasonable attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover these attorneys' fees under Chapter

38 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, Sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and Section 17.50 of the DTPA.

XII. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs assert Plaintiffs' right to a trial by jury, under Texas Constitution Article 1, Section 15, and makes this demand for a jury trial at least 30 days before the date this case is set for trial, in accordance with Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 216. Plaintiffs tender the fee of \$30.00, as required by Texas Government Code Section 51.604.

XIII. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2, Plaintiffs requests that Defendants disclose, within 50 days of the service of this request, the information or material described in Rule 194.2 (a) – (1).

XIV. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiffs pray that Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon trial hereof, said Plaintiffs have and recover such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate them in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, both as to actual damages, consequential damages, treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and all punitive, additional, and exemplary damages as may be found. In addition, Plaintiffs request the award of attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this case, for all costs of court, for prejudgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and for any other and further relief, at law or in equity, to which they may show themselves to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

SPEIGHTS & WORRICH
1350 North Loop 1604 E., Suite 104
San Antonio, Texas 78232
(210) 495-6789 (Telephone)
(210) 495-6790 (Facsimile)

By: Eric Quiroz, P.C.
ERIC QUIROZ
Texas State Bar No. 24090921
eric@speightsfirm.com

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS



MARCUS AND SYLVIA MARTINEZ
1005 AVENUE R
DEL RIO TX 788403441

November 24, 2015

INSURED: MARCUS MARTINEZ
DATE OF LOSS: May 11, 2015
CLAIM NUMBER: 0389238817 PLT
POLICY NUMBER: 000216631009

PHONE NUMBER: 800-252-8294
FAX NUMBER: 866-222-0411
OFFICE HOURS:

Re: Your Claim Status

Dear MARCUS AND SYLVIA MARTINEZ,

We have carefully reviewed the information about your loss that was reported to us, as well as the policy provisions that apply to this claim. Based on this review, we were unable to provide coverage for your dwelling claim. My inspection revealed the damage to the roof as estimated under claim number 260750179, with a loss date of 09-01-2012 have not been repaired. The interior is not covered for roof damage that occurred in 2012. Please refer to SECTION I—PERILS INSURED AGAINST, paragraph 3. b. of the Texas Homeowners Form A AU2130-2 policy which states:

**SECTION I—PERILS INSURED AGAINST
COVERAGE A (DWELLING) AND
COVERAGE B (PERSONAL PROPERTY)**

We insure against physical loss to the property described in Coverage A (Dwelling) and Coverage B (Personal Property) caused by a peril listed below, unless the loss is excluded in Section I Exclusions.

3. Windstorm, Hurricane and Hail.

This peril does not cover:

b. loss caused by rain, snow, sand or dust, whether or not driven by wind, unless the direct force of wind or hail makes an opening in the roof or wall and the rain, snow, sand or dust enters through this opening and causes the damage.

We're Here to Help You

I hope that you understand the basis for this decision. Please call me at the number below and refer to our claim number should you wish to discuss any aspect of this case, including this letter.

Sincerely,

BRADLEY BRISON SR.

BRADLEY BRISON SR
800-252-8294
Allstate Texas Lloyds



0389238817 PLT

200020151124TB011001427001001002359



|||||

MARCUS AND SYLVIA MARTINEZ
1005 AVENUE R
DEL RIO TX 788403441

November 19, 2015

INSURED: MARCUS MARTINEZ
DATE OF LOSS: May 11, 2015
CLAIM NUMBER: 0389238817 PLT

PHONE NUMBER: 800-252-8294
FAX NUMBER: 866-222-0411
OFFICE HOURS:

Dear MARCUS AND SYLVIA MARTINEZ,

We want to be sure to compensate you for all damages related to this loss and covered under your policy. If you believe that you have damages, please complete the enclosed Proof of Loss and return it in the enclosed postage paid self-addressed envelope. We will contact you to investigate any damages.

If you have any questions about this document or how to fill it out please call us at 1-866-612-3332 between the hours of 8:00 am – 5:00 pm Central, Monday through Friday.

Sincerely,

BRADLEY BRISON SR

BRADLEY BRISON SR
800-252-8294
Allstate Texas Lloyds



National Catastrophe Team

P.O. Box 672041
 Dallas, Texas 75267
 Phone: (800) 547-8676
 Fax: (877) 292-9527

Insured: SYLVIA MARTINEZ
 Property: 1005 AVE R
 DEL RIO, TX 78840-3441
 Home: 1005 AVENUE R
 DEL RIO, TX 78840-3441

Cell: (830) 719-6586

Claim Rep.: Bradley Brison

Business: (800) 547-8676

Estimator: Bradley Brison

Business: (800) 547-8676

Claim Number: 0260750179

Policy Number: 000216631009

Type of Loss: Windstorm

Date Contacted: 10/2/2012 12:00 PM

Date Received: 10/1/2012 2:25 PM

Date of Loss: 9/1/2012 12:00 AM

Date Inspected: 10/2/2012 12:00 PM

Date Entered: 10/3/2012 1:37 PM

Date Est. Completed: 12/5/2015 5:37 PM

Price List: TXSA7X_OCT12
 Restoration/Service/Remodel
 Estimate: SYLVIA_MARTINEZ

Allstate is dedicated to providing you with outstanding service throughout the claim-handling process. If you have any questions regarding this estimate, or if there are differences with the estimate provided by your repairperson of choice, or if additional damage is found during the repair process, please contact us at (800) 547-8676.

Thank you,
 Bradley Brison



National Catastrophe Team

P.O. Box 672041
 Dallas, Texas 75267
 Phone: (800) 547-8676
 Fax: (877) 292-9527

SYLVIA MARTINEZ

Roof

Main Level



Dwelling Roof

2459.05 Surface Area	24.59 Number of Squares
311.37 Total Perimeter Length	110.42 Total Ridge Length
161.23 Total Hip Length	

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	RCV	AGE/LIFE	COND.	DEP %	DEPREC.	ACV
1. Remove 3 tab - 25 yr. - (hvy.wt) comp. shingle rfg - incl. felt	12.58 SQ	32.30	406.33	0/25 yrs Avg.	NA	(0.00)	406.33	
2. 3 tab - 25 yr. - (hvy.wt) comp. shingle rfg - incl. felt	15.33 SQ	175.26	2,686.74	0/25 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	2,686.74	
3. R&R Ridge cap - composition shingles	157.20 LF	3.93	617.80	0/30 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	617.80	
4. R&R Drip edge	165.80 LF	1.41	233.78	0/35 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	233.78	
5. R&R Valley metal	65.10 LF	3.40	221.34	0/35 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	221.34	
6. R&R Roof vent - turtle type - Metal	3.00 EA	35.12	105.36	0/35 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	105.36	
7. Digital satellite system - Detach & reset	1.00 EA	21.75	21.75	0/NA Avg.	0%	(0.00)	21.75	
8. Digital satellite system - alignment and calibration only	1.00 EA	65.26	65.26	0/NA Avg.	0%	(0.00)	65.26	
Totals: Dwelling Roof			4,358.36			0.00	4,358.36	

Left Elevation

DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT	RCV	AGE/LIFE	COND.	DEP %	DEPREC.	ACV
9. R&R Window screen, 1 - 9 SF	3.00 EA	26.03	78.09	0/30 yrs Avg.	0%	(0.00)	78.09	
10. Haul debris - per pickup truck load - including dump fees	0.25 EA	90.95	22.74	0/NA Avg.	NA	(0.00)	22.74	
Haul off debris from all repairs excluding the roof repairs as that line item includes it's own debris haul off.								
Totals: Left Elevation			100.83			0.00	100.83	
Total: Main Level			4,459.19			0.00	4,459.19	
Total: Roof			4,459.19			0.00	4,459.19	

Adjustments for Base Service Charges

Adjustment

Roofer		179.40
Total Adjustments for Base Service Charges:		179.40



National Catastrophe Team

P.O. Box 672041
 Dallas, Texas 75267
 Phone: (800) 547-8676
 Fax: (877) 292-9527

Adjustments for Base Service Charges

		Adjustment
Line Item Totals: SYLVIA_MARTINEZ	4,638.59	0.00 4,638.59

[%] - Indicates that depreciate by percent was used for this item

[M] - Indicates that the depreciation percentage was limited by the maximum allowable depreciation for this item

Grand Total Areas:

393.33 SF Walls	165.84 SF Ceiling	559.17 SF Walls and Ceiling
165.84 SF Floor	18.43 SY Flooring	48.67 LF Floor Perimeter
0.00 SF Long Wall	0.00 SF Short Wall	51.67 LF Ceil. Perimeter
165.84 Floor Area	170.17 Total Area	393.33 Interior Wall Area
507.94 Exterior Wall Area	52.33 Exterior Perimeter of Walls	
2,459.05 Surface Area	24.59 Number of Squares	622.73 Total Perimeter Length
110.42 Total Ridge Length	161.23 Total Hip Length	



National Catastrophe Team

P.O. Box 672041
 Dallas, Texas 75267
 Phone: (800) 547-8676
 Fax: (877) 292-9527

**Summary for
 AA-Dwelling
 Summary for All Items**

Line Item Total	4,459.19
Total Adjustments for Base Service Charges	179.40
Material Sales Tax	187.81
Replacement Cost Value	\$4,826.40
Less Non-recoverable Depreciation	<0.00>
Actual Cash Value	\$4,647.00
Less Deductible	(1,250.00)
Net Claim	\$3,576.40

Bradley Brison

Depending upon the circumstances of your loss, our estimate may or may not include an amount for general contractor's overhead and profit. If you have questions regarding general contractor's overhead and profit and whether the services of a general contractor are appropriate for your loss, please contact your claim representative before proceeding with repairs.

Specialized skill, licensing or certification may be needed of any contractor(s) that you retain, for instance, to identify the presence and nature of any potential contaminants, toxins, pollutants, or other hazards that may be encountered during the course of the work, or to utilize appropriate work practices and procedures during the course of the work. Check with your local or State public health or environmental agency regarding potential hazards, including contractor qualifications and other requirements. For your safety, it is prudent to avoid areas where damaged structures, materials or unknown substances may be present, and to not disturb such structures, material, or unknown substances until your contractors have inspected the work site. The suggestions above are provided only for your consideration. They in no way supplement, alter or modify your existing coverage. Your insurance policy is the legal contract that contains the terms and limitations of your coverage. If you have any concerns about the grade of flooring on your estimate, you may take advantage of a free service that will provide you with a more specific analysis. To use this option, please keep a 12" x 12" sample of your damaged flooring, and notify your Allstate adjuster that you would like the additional analysis.

0449 / 100

P. 01 / 01

TRANSACTION REPORT

JAN/02/2013/WED 03:37 PM

X (TX)

FILE	TYPE	START T.	RECEIVER	COM. TIME	PAGE	TYPE/NOTE	FILE
101	JAN/02	03:37PM	18654474293	0:00:26	1	MEMORY OK	SG3 1792

Claim# 0260750179
 Policy# 000216631009

ATTN: Sandy
 Claims Dept.

Mr. Cipriano Cassio
 48 Brewer Drive
 Del Rio, Texas 78840
 (830) 765-6190

I Cipriano Cassio at this time are faxing you a Revised notification to Allstate Insurance Company stating that I was hired on 11/16/2012 to work on the roof damages that were covered by Allstate for policy of Marcus & Sylvia Martinez at 1005 Ave R., Del Rio, Texas. I and my crew completed the repair for water leakage to roof which included removing shingles, repairing valley area to stop leak, replaced felt, hail damage to shingles & discarded all trash accumulated at the job site. Mr & Mrs. Marcus Martinez paid me a total of \$3,450.00, in which it covered the cost of all material & labor needed for repairs as well as replacements of damages due to hail & windstorm. If at this time there are any further questions feel free to contact me once again.

Sincerely,

Cipriano Cassio

Mr. Cipriano Cassio

EXHIBIT

B

PROPOSALPage # 1 of 2 pages

ATTN: LAToya
 For Claim # 0260750179

*10/14/12
 with 11/24/12*

Proposal Submitted To: MARCUS & Sylvia MARTINEZ	Job Name Cipriano Cassio	Job #
Address 1005 AVE R.	Job Location	
Phone # (830) 719-6584	Date 11/14/12	Date of Plans
Fax # —	Architect	

We hereby submit specifications and estimates for:

*Labor & Material
 Repair Job on Valley Area to stop water leak
 Hail damage to shingles*

We propose hereby to furnish material and labor — complete in accordance with the above specifications for the sum of:

\$ 2,200.00 Dollars

with payments to be made as follows:

Any alteration or deviation from above specifications involving extra costs will be executed only upon written order, and will become an extra charge over and above the estimate. All agreements contingent upon strikes, accidents, or delays beyond our control.

Respectfully
 submitted

Note — this proposal may be withdrawn by us if not accepted within _____ days.

Acceptance of Proposal

The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified.
 Payments will be made as outlined above.

Date of Acceptance _____

Signature *Cipriano Cassio*

Cipriano Cassio